

REMARKS

Summary of the Substance of the Interview

On November 20, 2009, the Examiner, his supervisor, and the undersigned conducted a telephonic interview. During the interview, the parties discussed suggested amendments relating to the identity of the sensors in the independent claims. The Examiner requested that Applicants point to the areas in the specification to support such amendments.

Statement of Related Cases

Applicants wish to make the Examiner aware of the following table of co-pending applications pending before the USPTO, which are assigned to the assignee of the present application:

Attorney Docket Ref.	Serial No.
Auto-Journal-US	10/682,293
Auto-Journal-CIP-GLU	12/217,299
Auto-Journal-US-Cont.-1	11/930,036
Auto-Journal-US-Cont.-2	11/930,048
Auto-Journal-US-Cont.-3	11/930,053
Auto-Journal-US-Cont.-4	11/930,081
Auto-Journal-US-Cont.-5	11/930,091
Auto-Journal-US-Cont.-6	11/930,092
Auto-Journal-US-Cont.-7	11/930,094
Auto-Journal-US-Cont.-10	11/930,101
DFW-US-Cont.-Cont.	11/481,147
Firefly-US-Cont.	10/638,588
Firefly-US-Cont.-Cont.	11/434,949
Firefly-US-Cont.-Cont.-10	11/925,902
Firefly-US-Cont.-Cont.-11	11/925,903
Firefly-US-Cont.-Cont.-12	11/925,906
Firefly-US-Cont.-Cont.-13	11/925,908
Heart-US-Cont.-1	11/928,302
Heart-US-Cont.-2	11/928,320
Heart-US-Cont.-3	11/928,333
Heart-US-Cont.-4	11/928,347
Heart-US-Cont.-6	11/928,382

I/O-US-Cont.-4	11/876,623
I/O-US-Cont.-4-Cont.	11/925,965
Lifetype-US-1	12/033,722
Lifetype-US-2	12/033,728
Lifetype-US-3	12/033,731
Lifetype-US-4	12/033,737
Lifetype-US-5	12/033,741
Lifetype-US-6	12/033,746
Lifetype-US-7	12/033,751
Lifetype-US-8	12/033,753
Lifetype-US-9	12/033,760
Lifetype-US-10	12/033,766
Minifly-US-Cont.	11/739,748
Minifly-US-Cont.-3	11/927,276
Minifly-US-Cont.4	11/927,365
Ped.-Monitor-US	11/088,002
Ped.-Monitor-US-Cont.-3	11/928,039
Stand-Alone-US-Cont.	11/322,010
Stand-Alone-US-Cont.-Cont.	11/724,373
System-US	09/595,660
System-US-Cont.-1	11/247,049
Weight- Mgr.-US	10/940,214

Applicants respectfully direct the Examiner's attention to the bolded items above which refer to co-pending applications that, at this time and according to each application's current prosecution history, may be related to the prosecution of the present case. Applicants' reference to the above co-pending applications is not an admission of the materiality of any application or the prosecution history thereof, nor is it a admission that any of the above co-pending applications constitute prior art. Further, depending upon the prosecution of each of the non-bolded cases, those cases may also be related.

Amendment

Support for the amendments can be found throughout the specification and specifically at Tables 1 and 2, Figures 47 and 48, Paragraphs 10, and 232-243.

Respectfully submitted,

ERIC TELLER ET AL.

By their Representatives,

Customer No. 87084

Date November 30, 2009 By:

/John A. Monocello III/

John A. Monocello, III

GTC Law Group LLP & Affiliates

Reg. No. 51,022

Telephone No. 412-953-0696